Office of Utilities Regulation

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

for

Supply of up to 115 MW of Electricity Generation Capacity from Renewable Energy Based Power Generation Facilities on a Build, Own and Operate (BOO) Basis

ADDENDUM No. 1



February 11, 2013

In accordance with the provisions of Section 3.2.2 "Amendments" of the Request for Proposal for the Supply of up to 115 MW of Electricity Generation Capacity from Renewable Energy Based Power Generation Facilities on a Build, Own and Operate (BOO) Basis dated November 26, 2012 (the "RFP"), the Office of Utilities Regulation ("OUR") HEREBY ISSUES this addendum ("Addendum No. 1") dated the 11th day of February 2013 to the RFP.

Applicants are reminded that:

- The receipt of this Addendum No. 1 shall be acknowledged promptly in writing by prospective Applicants and so noted in the Applicant's proposal.
- Late receipt or non-receipt of this Addendum No. 1 shall not relieve any Applicant from being bound by it.

The sections of the RFP to be modified and the modification being made are indicated below:

- 1. Paragraphs 14th and 15th of the Invitation to Bid have been amended and are restated as follows:
 - 14th. Proposals must be marked "Supply of up to 115 MW of Electricity Generation Capacity from Renewable Energy Based Power Generation Facilities on a Build, Own and Operate (BOO) Basis" and deposited in the Tender Box provided at the address below by 3:00 pm Eastern Standard Time(EST) on Monday, June 3, 2013.

Office of Utilities Regulation Third Floor, PCJ Resource Centre 36 Trafalgar Road Kingston 10 Jamaica, W.I.

- 15th. All Proposals and alternatives must be accompanied by a non-refundable fee of Eight Thousand United States Dollars (US\$8,000.00). However, the fee will be refunded to Applicants for Proposals that are disqualified, based on the terms governing submission requirements at the Proposal opening.
- 2. In Clause 2.1 Definitions, the definition of "Dependable Capacity" is amended and restated as follows:

Dependable Capacity	The amount of sustained capacity (Net Production as measured at the Interconnection Point) in kW from the Complex after
	commissioning, and as determined by periodic testing.

3. Clause 2.10 Procurement Process and Schedule. The new proposed process and timetable are as follows:

<u>ACTIVITY</u> <u>DATE</u>

1. Issue of Request for Proposal - November 26, 2012

2. Submission of Intention to Submit a Proposal

(Appendix B) - December 21, 2012

3. Pre-bid meeting - January 17, 2013

4. Deadline for Submission of Proposals by Applicants - June3, 2013 (new)

5. Complete Evaluation of Proposals - August 5, 2013 (new)

6. Notify Highest Ranked Successful Applicants - September 11, 2013 (new)

7. Complete negotiation of Project Agreements - June 18, 2014 (new)

8. Highest Ranked Successful Applicants Post Performance

Security Deposit by - June 28, 2014 (new)

9. Begin Construction - Aug 2014 (new)

10. Proposed Commissioning Date - Aug 2015 (new)

- 4. Clause 3.2.19 Proposal Security of the Request for Proposal is hereby amended, and now reads as:
 - 92nd. Each Applicant shall furnish a Proposal Security in the amount of one percent (1%) of the expected total capital cost of the proposed Project after the Applicant has been notified that he has been selected to develop a renewable energy project(s). Where an Applicant submits multiple Proposals, the successful Applicant after being notified, shall furnish a Proposal Security in the amount of one percent (1%) of the expected total capital cost of the proposed Project contained in each successful Proposal. However in the case of a Proposal which consists of a base or preferred Proposal and one or more alternatives, the Applicant after being notified shall submit a Proposal Security valued at one percent (1%) of only the SUCCESSFUL Proposal. For the avoidance of doubt, Applicants are NOT required to submit a Proposal Security at the time of submission of their Proposals.
 - 93rd. The Proposal Security shall, at the Applicant's option, be in the form of a certified cheque, or an unconditional letter of credit from a reputable financial institution.

- 94th. The Proposal Security shall be valid for one (1) year from the date for submission of the Proposal Security as specified by the OUR.
- 95th. If an Applicant fails to submit the Proposal Security when requested to do so, which shall be no later than fifteen (15) days following the date of notification, the OUR will reject the Proposal and notify the Applicant accordingly.
- 96th. The Proposal Security shall be forfeited without any notice, demand, or other legal process:
 - If an Applicant withdraws its Proposal during the period of Proposal validity;
 or
 - If an Applicant's Proposal contains any false statements or material misrepresentations; or
 - If an Applicant fails within the specified time limits to:
 - Execute the Project Agreements, or
 - Furnish the required Performance Security.
- 97th. The Proposal Security shall be returned to an Applicant:
 - In the event that the Proposal Security has expired
 - In the event that the Applicant has executed the Project Agreements and has furnished the required Performance Security
- 5. In Clause 3.5.6: Stage 1 Evaluation Scores, the weightings in Criteria B. Ability to Finance Project, and C. Technical Capability and Qualifications of Key Persons Employed or to be Contracted by Applicant are hereby amended and restated as follows:

3.5.6 Stage 1 Evaluation Scores

Each acceptable Applicant's Proposal will be evaluated in accordance with the following criteria (with allotted scores as shown):

- B. Ability to Finance Project [40%]
 - a. Audited financial statements and other supporting data and information for the last three
 (3) years will be analyzed to determine the financial condition, performance and capability of each Applicant and its associated entities. (5%)
 - b. Capacity to borrow funds. (20%)
 - c. Ability to provide equity:
 - i. From own sources (10%)
 - ii. From other sources (5%)

- C. Technical Capability and Qualifications of Key Persons Employed or to be Contracted by Applicant [20%]
 - a. Competence of key personnel (as evidenced by CVs) (4%)
 - b. Indicated use and/or development of local labour throughout project implementation (6%)
 - c. Existing systems for management of engineering, construction and operations & maintenance of proposed facilities (5%)
 - d. Experience with existing technologies in renewable based power generation (5%)

Yours sincerely,

Millian

Peter Johnson

Manager Utility Monitoring and Electricity Generation

OFFICE OF UTILITIES REGULATION